PHIL 2200/02
Ways of Knowing
Semester: Fall 2016
Location: Willingham Hall, Room 125
Time: Tuesdays and Thursdays, 3:30pm—4:45pm

Instructor: Dr. Amy K. Donahue
Office: Social Sciences Building, Rm. 4092
Office Hours: Tuesdays and Thursdays, 2:00pm — 3:15pm, or by appointment. I’m happy to meet, in person or electronically, when my schedule allows.
Office Phone: 470.578.6624
Email address: adonahu3@kennesaw.edu

Electronic Communications: The University provides all KSU students with an “official” email account with the address “students.kennesaw.edu.” As a result of federal laws protecting educational information and other data, this is the sole email account you should use to communicate with your instructor or other University officials.

Course Description:
A philosophical, critical examination of the different ways of knowing and thinking in the humanities, natural sciences, and social sciences including ethical and religious perspectives. Emphasis is on the nature and purpose of philosophical inquiry as applied to selected issues within philosophy and the broader implications of these methods and questions for other disciplines and in everyday contexts.

Learning Objectives:
PHIL 2200 satisfies one of Kennesaw State University’s general education program requirements. It addresses the CRITICAL THINKING general education learning outcome. The learning outcome states: Students articulate a position on an issue and support it by evaluating evidence relevant to the position, considering opposing positions or evidence, and evaluating the implications and/or consequences of this issue. For more information about KSU’s General Education program requirements and associated learning outcomes, please visit http://catalog.kennesaw.edu/preview_program.php?catoid=14&poid=1248

Required Texts:
Additional materials uploaded to D2L.
Attendance Policy:
To do well in this course, you cannot afford to miss class. If you miss class, be sure to meet me during my office hours to discuss what you have missed.

Attendance is mandatory. Students are required to provide a reasonable, documentable explanation for class absences. After three unexcused absences, students lose a full letter grade, to be deducted from class participation, with each additional unexcused absence.

Students are solely responsible for managing their enrollment status is a class; nonattendance does not constitute a withdrawal.

Electronic Devices Policy:
The volume on all electronic devices should be turned off in class. Students may use laptops, etc. only to take notes in class and to look up material relevant to class discussions. Texting, chatting, or unrelated web-surfing during class will negatively affect students’ learning, class participation grades, and performance.

Course Assessments/Assignments:
For a grade of “B” or better, students must fulfill the following learning outcomes for this course:

- Demonstrate direct, primary text-based knowledge and understanding of historical and contemporary texts, arguments, and counterarguments through two long answer exams (each 10% of your overall grade), one midterm paper (25% of your overall grade), a final paper (25% of your overall grade), online long answer quizzes (roughly one per week, totaling 9% of your overall grade), and active classroom participation (21% of your overall grade).
- Write two five to seven page essays on a topic to be assigned, each articulating a philosophically relevant thesis, and elaborating and defending this thesis through accurate, detailed, logically coherent, and well-written summaries of supporting and opposing materials from assigned texts. Please visit the following links for guidance on how to write philosophy papers:
  - [http://www.jimpryor.net/teaching/guidelines/writing.html](http://www.jimpryor.net/teaching/guidelines/writing.html)
  - [http://www.claremontmckenna.edu/pages/faculty/AKind/Intro01s/writing.htm](http://www.claremontmckenna.edu/pages/faculty/AKind/Intro01s/writing.htm)
  - [http://www2.gsu.edu/~phtso/paperguide.html](http://www2.gsu.edu/~phtso/paperguide.html)
- Demonstrate disciplined critical thinking in your midterm and final papers, and in class discussions. See the previous bullet point, the “learning objectives” section above, and the grading rubric detailed below.
- Good (i.e., “B”-level) participation in class requires consistent and on-time attendance, in-class attentiveness, and appropriate engagement with others— e.g., asking appropriate questions, responding appropriately to instructor and student questions, working constructively in group exercises, treating fellow class participants with respect and courtesy, etc.
**Late assignments will receive a failing grade.** In some cases, the professor may choose to allow students to make up a missed/late assignment. To explore this possibility, students should contact the professor at least **two days before** the missed assignment deadline. The professor is not obliged to allow students to make up missed/late assignments except when absences are excused, or when extenuating circumstances (e.g., inclement weather) affect the entire class.

**The assignments in this course are “scaffolded.”** Online quiz assignments will typically ask students to explain select arguments and concepts from each week’s texts, and to use parenthetical page references to support each of their textual claims. Grading standards for these quizzes are low—to receive full credit (1 point), students need only submit a response through D2L that addresses each element of the quiz prompt and includes at least two parenthetical page references. If either of these criteria is not met, the student will receive 0 points on the quiz. Quiz responses will not be graded for grammar or style, accuracy or comprehensive, or degree of textual detail. **However, because students can use content from their quiz responses in their long answer exams and thesis papers,** the more seriously students take the weekly quizzes, the more prepared they will be to perform well on their long answer exams and thesis papers. Quizzes will typically be posted by 5pm on Fridays, and will be due through D2L by 3pm on the day of the next week’s class (usually Tuesday). Missed credit on online quizzes cannot be made up.

Before your midterm and final papers are due, students will be asked to submit online 500 word **long answer exams.** These exams are expository, and are not reflective or evaluative. Each will ask students to identify a thesis from one of the course readings, and provide an accurate, detailed, comprehensive, and textually grounded explanation of concepts and arguments that the author employs to support the thesis. The task in these assignments is to explain a philosopher’s argument, rather than to evaluate or reflect personally on it. Your exams will be graded according to the following rubric: a) accuracy and comprehensiveness (40%), b) textual detail and support (30%), and c) grammar and clarity (30%).

Students are welcome to re-use content from their quizzes in their exams. Further, they are welcome to use content from their first exam in their midterm paper, and from their second exam in their final paper. The exams are meant to provide students with an opportunity to test the quality of their understandings of textual arguments and concepts as well as receive feedback on their understanding of course material and their writing before the higher stake papers. Like the quizzes, they are also meant to reinforce the notion of philosophical writing as an ongoing text-based drafting process. **Your exams should be formatted with double-spacing, 12 point Times New Roman font, and 1” margins, and follow Chicago citation guidelines (see [http://www.lib.umd.edu/tl/guides/citing-chicago-ad](http://www.lib.umd.edu/tl/guides/citing-chicago-ad)).**

After the first exam, and after in-class exercises and discussions about philosophical theses and how to develop them, students will complete a 5-7 page (1,250-1,750 word) midterm paper. In this paper, students will be asked to defend a philosophically relevant and clearly stated evaluative thesis about philosophical positions studied in the first half of the class, and to do so through an accurate, detailed, comprehensive, and textually grounded exposition of relevant textual concepts and arguments. Your midterm papers will be graded according the following rubric: a) Clarity of thesis / structure of argument (25%), b) comprehensiveness and accuracy (30%), c) textual detail and support (25%), and d) grammar and clarity (20%).
The final paper will also be 5-7 pages (1,250-1,750 words) and will be graded according to the same rubric as the midterm paper. It will be due through D2L by the end of final exam period designated for our class.

Your exams and papers should be formatted with double-spacing, 12 point Times New Roman font, and 1” margins, and follow MLA citation guidelines (see http://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/resource/747/02/). Please review KSU’s policies on academic integrity and plagiarism before submission. Time permitting, I will be happy to review paper drafts before assignments are due and to work with students to improve their academic writing. Graded papers are normally returned no more than two weeks after their due date.

Grading:

Grade Calculations

Online Quizzes — 9%
Two Long Answer Exams — 20% (10% each)
Midterm Paper — 25%
Final Paper — 25%
Class participation — 21%

Grading Scale

Students are evaluated on the following scale:

A — 100 — 90
B — 89 — 80
C — 79 — 70
D — 69 — 60
F — < 60

I—Indicates an incomplete grade for the course, and will be awarded only when the student has done satisfactory work up to the last two weeks of the semester, but for nonacademic reasons beyond his/her control is unable to meet the full requirements of the course. Incomplete grades are only valid after submission of the Incomplete Grade form (signed by both the instructor and student) to the Department Chair’s office.

Academic Honesty:

Every KSU student is responsible for upholding the provisions of the Student Code of Conduct, as published in the Undergraduate and Graduate Catalogs. Section 5. C of the Student Code of Conduct addresses the university’s policy on academic honesty, including provisions regarding plagiarism and cheating, unauthorized access to university materials, misrepresentation/falsification of university records or academic work, malicious removal, retention, or destruction of library materials, malicious/intentional misuse of computer facilities and/or services, and misuse of student identification cards. Incidents of alleged academic
misconduct will be handled through the established procedures of the Department of Student Conduct and Academic Integrity (SCAI), which includes either an “informal” resolution by a faculty member, resulting in a grade adjustment, or a formal hearing procedure, which may subject a student to the Code of Conduct’s minimum one semester suspension requirement. See also https://web.kennesaw.edu/scai/content/ksu-student-code-conduct.

The instructor has a zero-tolerance policy against plagiarism and uses TurnItIn plagiarism detection services when grading written assignments. At a minimum, students who plagiarize any part of an assignment will receive an F for that assignment, in accord with KSU’s academic misconduct procedures.

To avoid plagiarism, including unintentional plagiarism, please visit:
http://plagiarism.org/
http://www.indiana.edu/~wts/pamphlets/plagiarism.shtml
http://www.library.arizona.edu/help/tutorials/plagiarism/index.html

ADA Compliance:
Students with qualifying disabilities under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and/or Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act who require “reasonable accommodation(s)” to complete the course may request those from Department of Student Success Services. Students requiring such accommodations are required to work with the University’s Department of Student Success Services rather than engaging in this discussion with individual faculty members or academic departments. If, after reviewing the course syllabus, a student anticipates or should have anticipated a need for accommodation, he or she must submit documentation requesting an accommodation and permitting time for a determination prior to submitting assignments or taking course quizzes or exams. Students may not request retroactive accommodation for needs that were or should have been foreseeable. Students should contact the office as soon as possible in the term for which they are seeking accommodations. For more information please visit their website, sss.kennesaw.edu/sds.

Contact information is as follows:
SDS Email: sds@kennesaw.edu
Primary number for Kennesaw campus: 470-578-2666
Primary number for Marietta campus: 678-915-7244
Course Schedule:
*Tentative* schedule of assignments and topics.

Week 1:
- **8/16** — Class introductions, welcome, and discussion of syllabus and the concept of “capitalist realism.” Is it easier today to imagine the end of the world than to imagine the end of capitalism?
- **8/18** — Reading due—Mark Fisher, “It’s Easier to Imagine the End of the World…,” Chapter 1 of *Capitalist Realism* (uploaded to D2L). Reading exercise: “One interesting thing…” Critical discussion. What is capitalism? Online quiz assigned on Friday.

Week 2:
- **8/25** — Re-reading due — First Marx excerpt. Critical discussion. According to Marx, what are commodities, use-values, and exchange-values. How do capitalist M-C-M circuits of commodity exchange differ from non-capitalist C-M-C circuits? Can we use the excerpts from *Capital* to strengthen Fisher’s argument? If so, how? Online quiz assigned on Friday.

Week 3:
- **8/30** — Online quiz due. Reading due — Marx, second excerpt from Vol. 1. of *Capital* (uploaded to D2L). Lecture. Critical discussion. What’s peculiar about money? And what happens to these peculiarities in M-C-M societies versus C-M-C societies?

Week 4:
- **9/6** — **FIRST EXAM.**

Week 5:
- **9/13** — Reading due — excerpts from the Buddhist Pāli canon and Sue Hamilton excerpt (uploaded to D2L), and Hanh, pages 51-91. Lecture and critical discussion of canonical Buddhist concepts and arguments. Discussion of their relevance to Hanh.
- **9/15** — Reading due — Hanh, pages 95-134. Reading exercise. Use the excerpts from the canonical Buddhist readings to explain and strengthen Hanh’s claims. Critical discussion. Review of Marx and Fisher. Online quiz assigned on Friday.
Week 6:


9/22 — Reading due — philosophy writing guides (uploaded and/or linked to D2L). In class workshop — how to write a philosophy paper. Discussion — what is a thesis? Homework for Thursday: come with a draft thesis statement and rough outline of your first paper. Online quiz assigned on Friday.

Week 7:


Week 8:

10/4 — MIDTERM PAPER DUE.
10/5 — LAST DAY TO WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT ACADEMIC PENALTY

Week 9:

10/11 — Re-reading due — Euthyphro. In class reading exercise and critical discussion — map and evaluate Socrates’ arguments.

10/13 — Reading due — Plato’s Apology. Lecture and critical discussion. What if anything makes a humane life humane and a petty life petty? Online quiz assigned on Friday.

Week 10:


10/20 — Reading due — Review and critical discussion of central concepts and arguments from Plato’s Socratic dialogues. Introduction to Kant and to key terms in the upcoming Kant reading. Online quiz assigned on Friday.

Week 11:

10/25 — Online quiz due. Kant (pp. xiii — xvii, and 9-20). Lecture.

10/27 — Re-reading due — First Kant reading. Small group reading exercise — defining terms and mapping Kant’s argument in Section 1. Class
discussion. Introduction to key terms in the reading for Thursday. Online quiz assigned on Friday.

Week 12:
11/1 — Online quiz due (bring to class). Reading due — Kant (pp. 21-55). Lecture.
11/3 — Re-reading due — Second Kant reading. Small group reading exercise — defining terms and mapping Kant’s argument in Section 2. Class discussion. No online quiz.

Week 13:
11/8 — ELECTION DAY. Reading due — Kant (pp. 56-72). Lecture. Reading exercise — mapping Kant’s arguments in Sections 2 & 3.
11/9 — SECOND EXAM DUE THROUGH D2L

Week 14:
11/15 — Online quiz due. Reading due — Langton article (uploaded to D2L). Class discussion of Langton & possible weaknesses in Kant’s moral philosophy.
11/17 — Review of the Kant, Plato, and Langton readings. And critical discussion of potential theses for the final paper. Online quiz assigned on Friday.

Week 15:
Fall Break

Week 16:
11/29 — Online quiz due (bring to class). Review and critical discussion of Socrates’ and Kant’s philosophies of human flourishing.
12/1 — Last day of class. Come to class with a draft of your final paper.

FINAL PAPER: DUE THROUGH D2L ON TUESDAY, DECEMBER 6, BY 5:30PM.